

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/187,482	11/16/2001	SATOU ET AL.	110811

EXAMINER

NGUYEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3683	12

DATE MAILED:**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LAN NGUYEN (PTO) (3) _____
(2) SCOTT SCHULTE (4) _____

Date of Interview 4/12/04Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached. was not reached.

N/A

Claim(s) discussed: 1 & 12Identification of prior art discussed: KLALIE

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to, if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

DISCUSSED PROPOSED CLAIMS AND HOW THEY WOULD
OVERCOME THE CITED PRIOR ART.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

AVAILABLE COPY*Len Nguyen*
4/12/04